



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/027,978	1	0/24/2001	Michael W. Morrow	42390P12943	42390P12943 1432		
8791	7590	11/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		OFF TAYLOR &	TRAN, I	TRAN, DENISE			
12400 WILS SEVENTH F		ULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		90025-1030	2185	· ·			

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/027,978	MORROW, MICHAEL W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Denise Tran	2189		

	Democ Han	12.00	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid aba offidavit, or other eviden or compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	ng date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing or	it of the fee. The appropri iginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see Now);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timal tilad amandma	nt concelling the
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendme	int cancelling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 14-28.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u></li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ied.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.  Other:	Ï	Deurepan 10/20/05	
		10/20/03	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: prior art still render the claims unpatentable and the final rejection is deemed to be proper with respect to applicant's response filed 9/8/05.

Newspr 10/20/05